

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,240		12/13/2001	Yan Hou	042390.P11505	1554	
8791	7590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
		LOFF TAYLOR &	DO, CI	DO, CHAT C		
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANO	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2193		
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/200	DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/017,240	HOU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chat C. Do	2193			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006 and 24 October 200	<u>)5</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-5,8-11 and 13-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5, 8-11, 13-19y is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	Examiner.			
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(c)					
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 02/21/2006 and 10/24/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13-19 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, 14, and 19 are independent claims. In Amendment, claims 6-7 and 12 are cancelled. This Office Action is made non-final after a RCE filed 02/21/2006.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 8-9, 15, and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 8, the applicant is advised to revise the claim because it is depending on the previous cancelled claim 7. In addition, the applicant is advised to rewrite the term "TRAM" in full as "temporary random access memory (TRAM)" for clarification.

Re claims 9 and 15, they have the same objection as addressed in claim 8.

Re claim 17, the applicant is advised to add a period (.) at the claim to indicate a complete claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13-19 cite a method and system for performing temporal order independent numerical computations according to a mathematical algorithm. In order to claims to be statutory, claims must either include a practical application at useful end or a discrete, useful, and tangible result. However, claims merely disclose a step of manipulate data for numerical computations without including a practical application at useful end or a tangible result(s). The input is a set of number and the output is just another set of number. Therefore, claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13-19 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 14-15 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shyu (U.S. 5,471,412).

Re claim 14, Shyu discloses in Figures 4 and 7 a method for performing temporal order independent computations (e.g. abstract and col. 2 line 30 to col. 4 line 56) comprising: receiving a data value for processing (e.g. input into the 4-port data unit 74); determining whether the data value corresponds to one of an addition operation and a

multiplication operation (e.g. from the output port of either 735 or 722 and col. 6 lines 32-43); if the data value corresponds to a multiplication operation, storing the data value in a multiplication buffer that stores only data values to which a first mathematical operation performed thereto is multiplication (e.g. col. 7 line 40 to col. 8 line 36, particularly lines col. 7 lines 49-58 wherein the data stored in writeport 1 is transferred to readport 1 to the multiplication computation block 3); if the data value corresponds to an addition operation, storing the data value in an addition buffer that stores only data values to which a first mathematical operation performed thereto is addition (e.g. col. 7 line 40 to col. 8 line 36, particularly lines col. 7 lines 64-68 wherein the data stored in writeport 2 is transferred to readport 1 to the addition/subtraction computation block 2); and outputting a data value stored in the multiplication buffer and an associated data value stored in the addition buffer to a computation block (e.g. 83 according to the control unit 9) for processing wherein the determining is performed upstream of the computation block.

Re claim 15, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 storing partial results generated by the computation block in a TRAM (e.g. 83).

Re claim 18, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the determining step includes determining whether a first mathematical operation to be performed on the data value after being transferred to the computation block is one of the addition operation and the multiplication operation (e.g. col. 3 lines 13-33 and col. 6 lines 45-52).

Re claim 19, it is a system claim of claim 14. Thus, claim 19 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,240 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Shyu (U.S. 5,471,412).

Re claim 1, Shyu discloses in Figures 4 and 7 a system for performing temporal order independent numerical computations on data (e.g. abstract) comprising: a computation block (e.g. parts 2 and 3 in Figure 4 which computing either multiplication or butterfly as addition/subtraction as seen in Figure 2A); a buffer block (e.g. 74 in Figure 7), wherein the buffer block (e.g. col. 6 lines 32-42 and the operation of 4-port data unit in Figure 4 is exactly the same configuration for Figure 7) includes at least one first buffer for storing only data values to which a first mathematical operation to be performed thereto after being transferred to the computation block is an addition operation by the computation block (e.g. RP1 as readport for addition/subtraction butterfly operation in Figure 4), and at least one second buffer for storing only data values to which a first mathematical operation to be performed thereto after being transferred to the computation block is a multiplication operation by the computation block (e.g. RP2 as readport for multiplication butterfly operation in Figure 4); and the demultiplexer transmits only to the at least one first buffer the data values to which the

Art Unit: 2193

first mathematical operation to be performed thereto after being transferred to the computation block is the addition operation by the computation block (e.g. col. 7 line 40 to col. 8 line 36, particularly lines col. 7 lines 64-68 wherein the data stored in writeport 2 is transferred to readport 1 to the addition/subtraction computation block 2), the demultiplexer transmits only to the at least one second buffer the data values to which the first mathematical operation to be performed thereto after being transferred to the computation block is the multiplication operation by the computation block (e.g. col. 7 line 40 to col. 8 line 36, particularly lines col. 7 lines 49-58 wherein the data stored in writeport 1 is transferred to readport 1 to the multiplication computation block 3), and upon a condition, data values are transferred from the buffer block to the computation block for processing (e.g. col. 6 lines 45-53 and by the controller 9 in Figure 7). Shyu fails to disclose a demultiplexer located upstream from the buffer block. However in the same Figures 4 and 7, Shyu discloses a demultiplexer (e.g. part 1 in Figure 4 or part 71 in Figure 7) for separating the input data to either the multiplication block (e.g. part 3 of Figure 4) or the addition/subtraction block (e.g. part 2 of Figure 4) because it can provide data to either the multiplication or additional/subtraction whenever needed (e.g. col. 5 lines 32-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add a demultiplexer as seen in Figures 4 or 7 placed in front of the buffer block (e.g. 74) because it would enable to send the provided data to either computation block efficiently (e.g. col. 5 lines 32-38).

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 2, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the first and second buffers are FIFO ("First In First Out") buffers (e.g. inherently from col. 8 lines 36-38 wherein the data is readout sequentially).

Re claim 3, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the computation block computes an IDCT ("Inverse Discrete Cosine Transform") (e.g. col. 8 lines 50-55).

Re claim 4, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 eight first buffers are utilized, each corresponding to a column of an 8x8 block of data (e.g. col. 7 lines 40-45).

Re claim 5, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the IDCT is a 2-D IDCT (e.g. col. 9 lines 49-62).

Re claim 8, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the computation block (e.g. 823 and 812 in Figure 9) generates a new partial result utilizing data values transferred from the buffer block (e.g. 74) and the partial result transferred from the TRAM (e.g. 83), the new partial result being then stored back in the TRAM (e.g. 83).

Re claim 9, it has same limitations cited in claim 1. Thus, claim 8 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1. In addition, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 a TRAM block (e.g. 83), wherein the TRAM block stores partial results of the computation between clock cycles (e.g. Figure 8); wherein, upon an occurrence of a predetermined condition (e.g. by the control unit 9 in Figure 7), data values are transferred from the buffer block and the TRAM block to the computation block for processing (e.g. col. 3 lines 1-68).

Re claim 10, it has same limitations cited in claim 3. Thus, claim 10 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 11, it has same limitations cited in claim 4. Thus, claim 11 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 4.

Re claim 13, it has same limitations cited in claim 5. Thus, claim 13 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Re claim 16, Shyu further discloses in Figures 4 and 7 the demultiplexer determines whether the first mathematical operation to be performed on each of the data values after being transferred to the computation block is one of the addition operation and the multiplication operation (e.g. col. 3 lines 15-33).

Re claim 17, it has same limitations cited in claim 16. Thus, claim 17 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 16.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8-11, and 13-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,240

Art Unit: 2193

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2193

April 24, 2006

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100